

Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Alkenylation of Trisubstituted Alkenols To Form Allylic Quaternary Centers

Harshkumar H. Patel and Matthew S. Sigman*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In this report, we describe the generation of remote allylic quaternary stereocenters β , γ , and δ relative to a carbonyl in high enantioselectivity. We utilize a redoxrelay Heck reaction between alkenyl triflates and acyclic trisubstituted alkenols of varying chain-lengths. A wide array of terminal (*E*)-alkenyl triflates are suitable for this process. The utility of this functionalization is validated further by conversion of the products, via simple organic processes to access remotely functionalized chiral tertiary acid, amine, and alcohol products.

G eneration of enantiomerically enriched quaternary centers adjacent to an alkenyl moiety in acyclic systems has recently received considerable attention.¹ This is in part due to the unique structural features of quaternary centers for applications in synthesis, which is further enabled by the resultant synthetic flexibility of the allylic functional group.² Existing approaches to access an allylic quaternary center utilize the precise proximity of a pre-existing functional group or preinstalled stereocenter in the substrate of interest to enable the reactivity profile.³ Although many excellent methods have been reported that allow for enantioselective installation of alkenyl groups α^4 or $\beta^{5,6}$ to a functional group, approaches outlining such a strategy to a quaternary chiral center γ or δ (or more remotely) directly have not been reported.^{7–9}

To address this challenge, we envisioned applying our recently reported enantioselective redox-relay Heck tactic for functionalization of trisubstituted alkenols containing various chain-lengths between the alkene and alcohol with an alkenyl reagent (Figure 1a).^{10,11} Successful development of such a



Figure 1. Proposed enantioselective redox-relay Heck alkenylation of trisubstituted alkenols.

method would provide direct access to the desired functionalized alkenyl quaternary stereocenter at remote positions (β , γ , and δ) to a carbonyl group expanding the methods by which to access these highly desirable chiral building blocks. Additionally, such a reaction can be considered a surrogate to the unresolved enantioselective alkylative Heck reaction, since hydrogenation of the resultant double bond will furnish the desired aliphatic Heck-type products.¹² Compounds of this nature are difficult to access using traditional approaches.¹³ Unfortunately, although we have reported the arylation of trisubstituted alkenols to establish guaternary stereocenters in high enantioselectivity, initial efforts to promote the corresponding reaction using alkenyl triflates were met with failure. Herein, we present the identification and application of a class of electron rich alkenyl triflates compatible with the redox-relay Heck reaction, to forge quaternary stereocenters containing an alkene in high enantioselectivity.

At the outset of this project, a search for a suitable alkenyl coupling partner was undertaken considering that electron deficient alkenyl triflates, although successful in the reaction of disubstituted alkenols (Figure 1b), were unreactive with the corresponding trisubstituted alkenols.¹⁴ Initially, it was hypothesized that slow migratory insertion of the electronpoor alkenyl triflate (Table 1, entry 1) into the more hindered system was responsible for the failure to observe product, which is consistent with previous report of reduced yields with electron-poor aryl boronic acids using hindered alkenols.¹⁵ Considering this, an electron rich alkenyl triflate (Table 1, entry 2) containing a cyclohexenyl group, was submitted to the reaction with trisubstituted alkenol 2a. Unfortunately, complete recovery of starting material resulted. Presumably, the cyclohexenyl unit prevents migratory insertion of the trisubstituted alkene due to its size. This hypothesis is consistent with poorer yields observed previously with ortho-substituted arenes with trisubstituted alkenes.¹⁰ Therefore, a range of less hindered electron-rich reagents were evaluated. Initially, alkenyl boronic acids were investigated due to their commercial availability, stability, and the ease with which one can vary the electronic nature of the alkenyl substituent. Unfortunately, an alkenyl boronic acid (Table 1, entry 3) was an ineffective coupling partner with alkenol 2a. Further, submission of alternative coupling partners such as an alkenyl iodide (Table 1, entry 4), and an alkenyl iodonium salt (Table 1, entry 5) were unsuccessful. The main byproduct observed in this reaction is a diene resulting from a homocoupling event. Apparently, after

Received: September 14, 2016 Published: October 21, 2016 Table 1. Alkenyl Coupling Partner Evaluation and Reaction Optimization*



^{*}nr, no reaction; na, not applicable; nd, not determinded. ^aDiene byproducts were observed. ^bAgOTf (1.0 equiv) was used as an additive. ^c1:1 equiv of the alkenol and triflate. ^dDMA (0.5 M) and 1.5 equiv of alkenol. ^cIsolated yields. ^fEnantioselectivity determined by SFC equipped with a chiral column. All reaction carried out on 0.1 mmol scale.

initial transmetalation, palladium alkenyl species A does not undergo the desired migratory insertion with the hindered alkenol but instead a second transmetalation event competitively occurs to form palladium bis-alkenyl species B (Table 1b). This intermediate can undergo subsequent reductive elimination resulting in the formation of the diene, which has deleterious effects on the reaction (vide infra).

These unfortunate results suggested that a Pd(0) path might be a more prudent approach to avoid a second transmetalation. Therefore, we reconsidered a less hindered E-alkenyl triflate (Table 1, entry 6), which was synthesized from the appropriate alkenyl iodonium salt, using Gaunt's procedure.¹⁶ Submitting this reagent and trisubstituted alkenol 2a to Pd(0) and a chiral pyridine oxazoline ligand resulted in the formation of desired γ substituted alkenylated carbonyl product 3a in 45% yield and 88:12 enantiomeric ratio (er). Surprisingly, approximately 20% yield of the diene product was also observed. We surmised that the diene byproduct in this case may arise from bimetallic transmetalation between two palladium alkenyl species.¹⁷ To prevent such a process, excess alkenol was used and further optimization of the solvent, concentration, and chiral PyrOx ligand culminated in the formation of the desired γ -alkenylated carbonyl product in high yield and enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 7). Of particular note, a more electrophilic catalyst is introduced, replacing the CF₃ with NO₂ on the pyridine moiety, which leads to significantly higher yields. Presumably, this change enhances both the binding of the hindered alkene and rates of migratory insertion.¹¹

Using these optimized conditions, the scope of the alkenyl electrophile was evaluated (Table 2). A wide variety of β -

Table 2. Scope of Alkenyl Triflate in the Redox-Relay Heck Asymmetric Alkenylation of Trisubstituted Alkenol a



"Yields are reported as an average of two parallel experiments. Enantioselectivity determined by SFC equipped with a chiral column. Reaction performed on 0.5 mmol scale. Absolute configuration determined to be (R) for a synthetic derivative of **3i** arising from the (Z)-alkene. All other products were assigned by analogy.

substituted alkenyl triflates were synthesized and reacted with acvclic trisubstituted alkenol 2a under the optimal conditions. Electron-rich and poor styrenyl triflates (3a-3d) furnished the desired γ -alkenylated carbonyl products in good yields and high enantioselectivity. Simple alkyl substituted alkenyl triflates 1e and 1f provided the desired compounds 3e and 3f in excellent enantiomeric ratio of 97:3 and 75% and 79% yield, respectively. Notably, use of (E)-stereodefined alkenyl triflates is required since, a mixture of (E)- and (Z)-1f (ratio of E/Z was 1:2.2) provides only 32% yield of 3f with a reduction of er from 97:3 to 92:8. This suggests that the migratory insertion is slowed with (Z)-alkenyl triflates due to increased steric effects. Next, larger substrates such as β -disubstituted alkenyl triflates were subjected to this reaction. Although yields of the desired γ alkenylated products are generally good, the enantioselectivity observed was highly dependent on the nature of the coupling partner. For example, product 3g containing a β -disubstituted diphenyl group was formed in excellent yield and significantly reduced er (67:33). Similarly, the formation of 3h follows the same trend, good yield and a modest 88:12 er. However, a reduction in size of the alkenyl coupling partner to a β disubstituted dimethyl group proved to be an excellent reagent with an enhanced er of 94:6 (3i). Linear alkenyl groups containing simple aliphatic substituents including a primary alkyl halide (3j-3l) are viable reagents especially in terms of enantioselectivity albeit the incorporation of a remote arene (3j) does cause a reduction in yield.

To explore the limits of the alkenol counterpart in this enantioselective alkenylation of trisubstituted alkenols, various (E)- and (Z)-stereodefined alkenols were synthesized and subjected to the Heck conditions with a range of alkenyl triflates (Table 3). The (E)-allylic trisubstituted alkenols gave





^{*a*}Yields are reported as an average of two parallel experiments. Enantioselectivity determined by SFC or GC equipped with a chiral column. Reaction performed on 0.25 mmol scale. ^{*b*}7.5 mol % of Pd₂dba₃·CHCl₃, 16 mol % L2, 2 equiv of alkenol added, and the reaction stirred for 56 h.

the desired product in moderate to good yields (3m-3o). Although 30 was formed in good enantiomeric ratio of 94:6, 3m and 3n showed slightly lower er of 91:9 and 87:13 respectively. Additionally, geraniol underwent migratory insertion at the allylic position to provide 3p in moderate yield but an 84:16 er. These results collectively suggest that the enantioselectivity is not only affected by the nature of the alkenyl triflate, but also mitigated by the stereochemistry of the alkenol substrate. To probe this, (E)- and (Z)-4-methyloct-3en-1-ol (2q) were subjected to the reaction with styrenyl triflate 1a, providing 3q in high yields. However, reaction of (Z)alkenol led to aldehyde 3q in significantly higher er (95:5 as compared to 13:87 for (E)-alkene). This suggests that (Z)alkenols are superior to their (E)-counterparts. An alkene bearing another oxygen substituent and having a secondary alcohol is also tolerable, enabling the delivery of alkenyl group to a more remote δ position with respect to the carbonyl (3r). A bis-homoallylic alcohol gave the desired δ -alkenylated product 3s in good er, albeit in modest yield. Lastly, a more demanding trisubstituted alkenol bearing butyl and ethyl substituents required slightly higher catalyst loading and reaction times to provide the aldehyde 3t in 65% yield and a moderate enantiomeric ratio.

To take advantage of the alkenyl functional group in this unique transformation, the resulting products were processed to various attractive building blocks. As envisioned, this alkenylation reaction can be a surrogate for the long-standing challenge of intermolecular enantioselective alkyl Heck reactions as showcased by a hydrogenation of **31** to furnish **31a** in excellent yield (Scheme 1a). Indeed, products of this sort

Scheme 1. Derivatization of Alkenylated Products^a

a. Hydrogenation of the alkenylated product



^{*a*}(a) **5** (PG = TBDPS), acetone, -78 °C, O₃, CrO₃. (b) i. **5** (PG = TBDPS), acetone, -78 °C, O₃, CrO₃. ii. DPPA, Et₃N, toluene, reflux, 12 h, then add allyl alcohol (5 equiv), 80 °C. (c) i. **5** (PG = 3,5-dinitrobenzoicacid ester), dichloromethane, O₃, -78 °C, PPh₃. ii. *m*-CPBA, dichloromethane. iii. KOH.

would be difficult to access using traditional strategies. Additionally, the aldehyde group in product 3f was reduced to the alcohol and appropriately protected to provide 5 (not shown). Ozonolysis of alkene 5 followed by treatment with the Jones reagent provided enantiomerically enriched acid 5a (Scheme 1b).^{5c} This carboxylic acid was subjected to a Curtius rearrangement¹⁹ yielding an isocyanate, which was trapped insitu by the addition of allyl alcohol to provide chiral tertiary protected amine 5b. Similarly, ozonolysis of 5, followed by treatment with triphenylphosphine furnished tertiary aldehyde (not shown), and subsequent Baeyer-Villiger oxidation²⁰ and hydrolysis of the formate ester yielded chiral tertiary alcohol 5c. The enantioselectivity was effectively preserved in all of these functional group transformations. Thus, from a simple alkenylated product, one can access a diverse range of remote tertiary functionalized alkyl products.²¹

In conclusion, we have reported a new method to form quaternary chiral centers remotely from a carbonyl in high enantioselectivity. This process utilizes simple to access starting materials, a conveniently prepared chiral ligand, and is performed under mild conditions. High enantioselectivity is predicated on using a (Z)-trisubstituted alkene and a relatively unhindered alkenyl triflate. The olefin introduced in the products offers a flexible handle for further synthetic manipulations as highlighted by the transformation of the alkene to an alcohol, protected amine, and a carboxylic acid, all remote from the carbonyl in the product. Finally, hydrogenation of the alkenylated products provides access to a quaternary center bearing four unique alkyl groups. Further efforts are focused on expanding the scope to other coupling partners as well as applying this method in synthetic endeavors.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b09649.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*sigman@chem.utah.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by National Institute of Health (NIGMS R01GM063540).

REFERENCES

Hawner, C.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7295-7306.
 (a) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.
 2004, 101, 5363-5367. (b) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. Synthesis 2006, 3, 369-396. (c) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. Nature 2014, 516, 181-191. (d) Corey, E. J.; Guzman-Perez, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
 1998, 37, 388-401.

(3) Das, J. P.; Marek, I. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4593-4623.

(4) For α-alkenylation to a carbonyl, see: (a) Fujimoto, T.; Endo, K.;
Tsuji, H.; Nakamura, M.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4492–4496. (b) Bella, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5672–5673. (c) Corkey, B. K.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17168–17169.

(5) For enantioselective allylic substitution, see: (a) Gao, F.; McGrath, K. P.; Lee, Y.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14315–14320. (b) Gao, F.; Carr, J. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6613–6617. (c) Harrington-Frost, N.; Leuser, H.; Calaza, M. I.; Kneisel, F. F.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2003, S, 2111–2114. For indirect formation of alkenyl stereocenter, see: (d) Jung, B.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1490–1493. (e) Larsen, A. O.; Leu, W.; Oberhuber, C. N.; Campbell, J. E.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11130–11131. (f) Gao, F.; Lee, Y.; Mandai, K.; Hoveyda, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8370–8374. (g) Lee, Y.; Li, B.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11625–11633. (h) Lee, Y.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15604–15605. For enantioselective allyl-allyl cross coupling, see: Zhang, P.; Le, H.; Kyne, R. E.; Morken, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9716–9719.

(6) For alkenylation *via* conjugate additions, see: (a) Mauleón, P.; Carretero, J. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4961–4963. (b) Hosokawa, S.; Sekiguchi, K.; Enemoto, M.; Kobayashi, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 6429–6433.

(7) For hydrovinylation, see: (a) Shi, W.-J.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhu, S.-F.;
Hou, G.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Zhang, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2780–2781. (b) Smith, C. R.; Lim, H. J.; Zhang, A.; Rajanbabu, T. V. Synthesis 2009, 2009, 2089–2100. (c) Zhang, A.; Rajanbabu, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5620–5621. (d) Smith, C. R.; RajanBabu, T. V. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1657–1659.

(8) For metal catalyzed ring opening of functionalized cyclopropanes, see: (a) Lautens, M.; Meyer, C.; Lorenz, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 10676–10677. (b) Simaan, S.; Goldberg, A. F. G.; Rosset, S.; Marek, I. Chem. - Eur. J. **2010**, 16, 774–778. (c) Masarwa, A.; Marek, I. Chem. - Eur. J. **2010**, 16, 9712–9721. (d) Simaan, S.; Marek, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 4066–4067.

(9) Other methods reported forming alkenyl quaternary stereocenter: (a) Feng, J.; Garza, V. J.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8911–8914. (b) Jung, M. E.; D'amico, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 7379–7388. (10) Mei, T. S.; Patel, H. H.; Sigman, M. S. Nature 2014, 508, 340-344.

(11) Zhang, C.; Santiago, C. B.; Crawford, J. M.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15668–15671.

(12) For reviews on asymmetric Heck reactions, see: (a) Dounay, A. B.; Overman, L. E. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2945–2964. (b) Shibasaki, M.; Vogl, E. M.; Ohshima, T. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 1533–1552. (c) Mc Cartney, D.; Guiry, P. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5122–5150.

(13) For an example of alkyl Heck reaction, see: (a) Firmansjah, L.;
Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11340–11341. For examples of alkylation via enantioselective conjugate addition catalyzed by Cu, see:
(b) Augustin, M. V.; Alexakis, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 9647–9662.
(c) Dabrowski, J. A.; Villaume, M. T.; Hoveyda, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8156–8159.

(14) Patel, H. H.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3462-3465.

(15) (a) Mei, T. S.; Werner, E. W.; Burckle, A. J.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6830–6833. (b) Also see: Werner, E. W.;

Mei, T. S.; Burckle, A. J.; Sigman, M. S. Science **2012**, 338, 1455–1458. (16) Suero, M. G.; Bayle, E. D.; Collins, B. S. L.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 5332–5335.

(17) Wang, D.; Izawa, Y.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9914–9917.

(18) Hilton, M. J.; Cheng, B.; Buckley, B. R.; Xu, L.; Wiest, O.; Sigman, M. S. *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71*, 6513–6518.

(19) (a) Shioiri, T.; Ninomiya, K.; Yamada, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6203–6205. (b) Elliott, J. M.; Castro, J. L.; Chicchi, G. G.; Cooper, L. C.; Dinnell, K.; Hollingworth, G. J.; Ridgill, M. P.; Rycroft, W.; Kurtz, M. M.; Shaw, D. E.; Swain, C. J.; Tsao, K.-L.; Yang, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 1755–1758.

(20) Hudrlik, P. F.; Hudrlik, A. M.; Nagendrappa, G.; Yimenu, T.; Zellers, E. T.; Chin, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 6894–6896.

(21) Existing methods for preparation of chiral tertiary alcohols and amines: (a) Stymiest, J. L.; Bagutski, V.; French, R. M.; Aggarwal, V. K. *Nature* **2008**, 456, 778–783. and references therein (b) Chen, C. A.; Wu, K. H.; Gau, H. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 5373–5376.

- (c) Ramon, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1239–1242.
- (d) Li, H.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6538–6539.
 (e) Cogan, D. A.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 268–269.
- (f) Shibasaki, M.; Kanai, M. Chem. Rev. **2008**, 108, 2853–2873.